

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,527	02/11/2002	Subrata Mokerji	500-3013-U	6146
75	90 07/14/2003			
MCDONALD, HOPKINS, BURKE & HABER CO. 2100 BANK ONE CENTER 600 SUPERIOR AVENUE, E.			EXAMINER	
			PIZIALI, ANDREW T	
CLEVELAND, OH 44114-2653			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	[[
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/073,527	MOKERJI, SUBRATA	
7.u.v.o., 7.lou.o	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrew T Piziali	1775	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 07 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a vinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti 	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the	
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 45-63.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10.⊠ Other: Notice of References Cited		LLL WAR SMA DEBORAH JONES	

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER



Continuation of 2. NOTE:

The proposed amendment, further limiting the article by claiming that the polymeric material layer provides a leveling effect to the article substrate, would require further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The applicant asserts that Gibbons does not appear on point with applicant's invention because the plastic film having reflective metal layers is not applied directly to the metal or plastic reflector body. The examiner respectfully disagrees. The examiner asserts that the applicant has failed to claim that the polymeric material is deposited directly on the surface of the article substrate. The examiner contends that the applicant has only claimed that the polymeric film is "on" the article substrate. The examiner has cited a dictionary definition of the word "on" which states that "on" is "Used to indicate position above and supported by or in contact with." The examiner asserts that the polymeric film of Gibbons is positioned above and supported by the metal or plastic reflector body substrate.

9-110103